

# Octopus

## embracing the energy efficiency of handheld multimedia computers

Paul J.M. Havinga

University of Twente  
department of Computer Science  
the Netherlands  
+31 4894619

havinga@cs.utwente.nl

Gerard J.M. Smit

University of Twente  
department of Computer Science  
the Netherlands  
+31 4893734

smit@cs.utwente.nl

### ABSTRACT

In the MOBY DICK project we develop and define the architecture of a new generation of mobile hand-held computers called *Mobile Digital Companions*. The Companions must meet several major requirements: high performance, energy efficient, a notion of Quality of Service (QoS), small size, and low design complexity. To address these requirements we need to revise the architecture of the hardware, the operating system, and applications.

The approach is based on dedicated functionality and the extensive use of energy reduction techniques at all levels of system design. The *Mobile Digital Companion* has an unconventional architecture that saves energy by using system decomposition at different levels of the architecture and exploits locality of reference with dedicated, optimised modules. A reconfigurable internal communication network switch called *Octopus* exploits locality of reference and eliminates wasteful data copies. The switch is implemented as a simplified ATM switch and provides Quality of Service guarantees and enough bandwidth for multimedia applications. We have built a testbed of the architecture, of which we will present performance and energy consumption characteristics.

#### Keywords

Handheld computers, energy efficiency, switching fabric, multimedia, Quality of Service

### 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most compelling issues in mobile computing is to keep the energy consumption of the mobile low. This paper discusses the system architecture and interconnection structure of a portable computer, called *Mobile Digital Companion*, which provides support for handling multimedia applications energy efficiently.

The architecture of a *Mobile Digital Companion* was designed as part of the MOBY DICK project [16]. This project addresses fundamental issues in the architecture, design and implementation of low-power hand-held computers, with particular emphasis on energy conservation.

The *Mobile Digital Companion* is envisioned to be a small personal portable computer and wireless communications device that can replace cash, cheque book, passport, keys, diary, phone, pager, maps and possibly briefcases as well [9]. It is resource-poor, i.e. small amount of memory, limited battery life, low processing power, and connected to the environment via a (wireless) network with variable connectivity. An important feature is the interface and interaction with the user: voice and image input and output (speech and pattern recognition) will be key functions. The use of real-time multimedia data types like video, speech, animation and music greatly improve the usability, quality, productivity, and enjoyment of these systems. Multimedia applications are characterised by their requirement for transport of multiple synchronised media streams. Some of these streams (typically video streams) have high bandwidth and stringent real-time requirements. Most of the applications we consider require not only a certain Quality of Service for the communication, but also a significant amount of computing power. The compute requirements stem from operations such as compression/decompression, data encryption, image and speech processing, and computer graphics.

The Mobile Digital Companion will be a versatile device that combines multimedia and communication functionality in one single portable device. Nevertheless these functions have to be provided by relatively little hardware and consume little energy because a main requirement for the Companion is small size and weight. Current technology, architectures and system software is by far not capable of dealing with these requirements, the energy consumption that would be needed is far too much. Therefore we need to revise the system architecture of a handheld multimedia computer.

#### Approach

The approach to achieve such a system is to have autonomous, reconfigurable modules such as network, video and audio devices, interconnected by a switch rather than by a bus, and to offload as much as work as possible from the CPU to programmable modules placed in the data streams. Thus, communication between components is not broadcast over a bus but delivered

exactly where it is needed, work is carried out where and when the data passes through, bypassing the memory. Modules are autonomously entering an energy-conserving mode and adapt themselves to the current state of the resources, the environment and the requirements of the user. To support this, the operating system must become a small, distributed system with co-operating processes occupying programmable components, among which the CPU is merely the most flexibly programmable one. The interconnect of the architecture is based on a switch, called *Octopus*, which interconnects a general-purpose processor, (multimedia) devices, and a wireless network interface.

### Outline

In this paper we first motivate why there is a need to revise the system architecture of a portable computer. Then we will give an architectural overview of the *Mobile Digital Companion*, and give a short overview of the state of the art in mobile multimedia computing. We then present the architecture and design of the interconnection network, the *Octopus* switch. Topics of special interest are the buffer organisation, the scheduling techniques used, and the internal communication protocol. In section 5 the testbed implementation of the *Octopus* switch is described, and performance and energy consumption measurements are presented. Finally, we present the summary and conclusions in section 6.

## 2. MOTIVATION

The computer industry has made enormous progress in the development of mobile computing and the design of portable computers. These systems are generally based on architectures of high performance computers that have some provisions for wireless computing and a rudimentary form of power management. In this section we will show that such an approach is not enough if we want to be able to have a hand-held machine that can be used conveniently in a wireless environment.

### 2.1 Limitation of Energy Resources

Personal computers are becoming an integral part of daily life, as portable appliances such as wristwatches, games and cellular phones have become over the last few years. These devices now support a constantly expanding range of functions, and multiple devices are converging into a single unit. The emergence of wireless communication and the enormous improvements in technology that allows us to integrate many functions in one chip has opened many possibilities for mobile computing. However, it is becoming increasingly evident that merely increasing the processing power and raising raw network bandwidth does not translate to better devices. Weight and battery life are equally important as processing speed. These two factors are related by battery size: to operate a computer over a longer time without recharging, we need a larger, heavier, battery. Energy consumption is the limiting factor in the amount of functionality that can be placed in portable computers.

### 2.2 System Level Integration

In the traditional design of a mobile, a number of problem areas in hardware and software architectures can be identified concerning the energy consumption. The major reason is that current operating system software and networking software emphasises

flexibility and performance, and is constructed from components developed by independent groups. A good working practise is to define interfaces in a hierarchical way, since it reduces the complexity of the system to manageable proportions. However, the result of this flexibility and this development approach is that numerous unnecessary data copies occur between different modules. Operations such as data copying, servicing of interrupts, context switches, software compression, are currently often responsible for poor performance and high energy consumption.

Research has shown that there is no single approach for reducing energy in systems like the *Mobile Digital Companion* [5]. While low-power components and subsystems are essential building blocks for portable systems, little effort has been directed towards dedicated low-power hardware architectures by considering the system as a whole. The ability to integrate diverse functions of a system on the same chip provides the challenge and opportunity to do system architecture design and optimisations across diverse system layers and functions. Especially a mobile computing device that combines multimedia computing and communication functions exemplifies the need for system level integration. Functions ranging from audio and video processing, radio modem, wireless interface, security mechanisms, and user interface oriented applications have to be integrated in a small portable device with a limited amount of energy. Information generated by a device or an application has to traverse and be processed at all these layers, providing the system architect with a rich design space of trade-offs.

The vision in the MOBY DICK project is that there is a vital relationship between hardware architecture, operating system architecture, applications' architecture and human-interface architecture, where each benefits from the others: the applications can adapt to the power situation if they have an appropriate operating system API for doing so; the operating system can minimise the energy consumption by keeping as many as components turned off as possible; the hardware architecture can be designed to route data paths in such a way that, for specific functions, only a minimum of components need to be active.

### 2.3 Energy Efficiency and Flexibility

As mobile computers must remain usable in a variety of environments, they have to support different encoding and encryption schemes and protocols to conform to different network standards, and to adapt to various operating conditions. A mobile computer will therefore require a large amount of circuits that can be customised for specific applications to stay versatile and competitive. *Programmability* and *adaptability* is thus an important requirement for mobile systems, since the mobiles must be flexible enough to accommodate a variety of multimedia services and communication capabilities and adapt to various operating conditions in an (energy) efficient way.

Application specific solutions present the most effective way of reducing energy waste and have been shown to lead to huge power savings [14][15]. Since such solutions use specialised hardware to handle multimedia data, their functionality is restricted to the capabilities of the hardware. While low power solutions are already available for application specific problems, doing so in a reconfigurable environment is a substantially harder problem, since programmable devices often incur significant performance and energy consumption penalties. Making the

architecture programmable, inherently carries with it a large energy overhead, which most often dominates the energy dissipation of the intended computation.

## 2.4 Discussion

Basically, there are two types of computer devices for use on the road: the palm-top computer and the notebook computer. Notebook computers are battery powered personal computers, and the current architectures for mobile computers are strongly related to the architecture of high-performance workstations. Both the notebook and the personal computer generally use the same standard PC operating system such as Windows or Unix, same applications, use the same communication protocols and use the same hardware architecture. The only difference is that portable computers are smaller, have a battery, a wireless interface, and sometimes use low power components. The problems that are inherent to mobile computing are either neglected (e.g. the communication protocols are still based on TCP/IP, even if these behave poor in a wireless environment [2]), or tried to solve with brute force neglecting the increase in energy consumption (e.g. extensive error control, or software decompression). Adaptability and programmability should be major requirements in the design of the architecture of a mobile computer. Other small electronic gadgets like Web-phones, MP3 man, games and digital cameras will in the near future be integrated with the portable computers in a *personal mobile computing* environment [10]. This not only leads to greater demand for computing power, but at the same time the size, weight and energy consumption must be small.

Clearly, there is a need to revise the system architecture of a portable computer if we want to be able to have a machine that can be used conveniently in a wireless environment. A system level integration of the mobile's architecture, operating system, and applications is required. The system should provide a solution with a proper balance between flexibility and efficiency by the use of a hybrid mix of general-purpose and application specific approaches.

## 3. THE SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE OF A MOBILE DIGITAL COMPANION

### 3.1 Architectural Overview

The scope of the MOBY DICK project is the architecture of systems hardware, firmware and software in general. We address the following issues in particular:

- Eliminate the CPU as an active component in all data streams. In particular we aim to eliminate the active participation of the CPU in media transfers between components such as network, display and audio system (e.g. when the companion functions as a phone, walk-man, TV, or electronic newspaper).
- Eliminate main memory as the intermediate station for all data transfers between devices. The energy required to transfer and store the data is wasted if the data only occupies memory in transit between two devices (e.g. network and screen or network and audio).
- Use dynamic programmable and adaptable devices that convert incoming or outgoing data streams, in particular network, security, display and audio devices. Because they

are programmable, they can handle different data encoding standards and communication protocols autonomously. This has two effects. First, for a large number of these data-conversion functions (or filter functions), digital signal processors (DSPs), field-programmable hardware, or dedicated hardware are both faster and more energy efficient than general purpose CPUs. The second effect is that devices can be made to communicate directly with each other, instead of requiring CPU intervention for adapting data streams:

- A display device will convert between, for example, MJPEG-compressed data and pixel data. Multimedia applications can benefit from compression as a means of saving (energy wasting) network bandwidth, but require a platform for the calculation necessary.
- A network device will convert between byte streams used internally and, for example, TCP/IP packets streams on top of ATM. Network protocol stacks can be installed on the network interface device, or even on the base station, where they can handle much of the communication functions while the CPU is turned off.
- Security protocols can be run in an environment beyond the direct control of the operating system or applications. Regular software is prone to many forms of attack (viruses, Trojan horses, bugs).

The proposed architecture of the *Mobile Digital Companion* is shown in Figure 1. The figure shows a typical system with Processor module, Network module, Display module, Camera module, and Audio module, all interconnected by a switching fabric. The switch interconnects the modules and provides a reliable path for communication between modules. Addressing is based on connections rather than memory addresses. This not only eliminates the need to transfer a large number of address bits per access, it also gives the system the possibility to control the QoS of a task down to the communication infrastructure. This is an important requirement since in a QoS architecture all system components, hardware as well as software, have to be covered end-to-end along the way from the source to the destination. In our infrastructure all connections are associated with a certain QoS.




Figure 1: A typical Mobile Digital Companion architecture.

Note that although our design assumes a low power, wireless multimedia computer, most of our ideas are applicable (perhaps with some modification) to many other types of computer (sub)systems, including high performance workstations and network interfaces.

### 3.2 Application Domain Specific Modules

The *Mobile Digital Companion* architecture comprises many devices normally found in multimedia workstations, but since our target is a portable computer, these devices generally do not have the performance and size of their workstation counterparts. Note that our devices are not merely that of dedicated I/O devices in the traditional sense. We prefer to call the devices *modules*, or *I/O subsystems*, to emphasise the fact that they provide more functionality than a simple device. The modules differentiate to these devices in multiple ways. First, each module is an autonomous sub-system that can operate without intervention from the main CPU. Secondly, it has a control processor that performs diverse operations, including connection management and energy management. Finally, most modules are able to adapt their behaviour to the 'wishes' of the client or application, and try to operate in the most efficient way.

**Advantages** – The main advantages of this approach with multiple autonomous modules are:

- *Efficient processing* – Instead of executing all computations in a general-purpose datapath, as is commonly done in conventional architectures, the energy- and computation-intensive tasks are executed on optimised modules. The modules are capable of efficiently performing device or application specific tasks. They can for example decompress a video stream, just before it is displayed on the screen.
- *Eliminate useless data copies* – When the data flows directly between the modules that need to process them, unnecessary data copies can be eliminated. For example, in a system where a stream of video data is to be displayed on a screen, the data can be copied directly from the network into the screen memory, without going through the main processor.
- *Relieve the general-purpose CPU* – In a media-centric system the data can flow between modules without any involvement of the main CPU and without using any processor cycles. The main CPU is also relieved of having to service interrupts and to perform context switches every time new data arrives, or communicate with a local device.
- *Adequate energy management* – Each module contains specific knowledge about the usage patterns and the specific requirements for a device. Therefore, each module has its own responsibility and has some autonomy in deciding how to manage its state of operation to minimise its energy consumption without compromising its quality of service.
- *Flexible and adaptable* – Because the modules are programmable, they can offer the flexibility to provide support for various standards that a Companion might need to use (e.g. different encoding and encryption schemes), and the adaptability to adapt its mechanisms, algorithms and techniques to the various operating conditions. Of all the programmable modules, the general-purpose processor is merely the most flexible one. The processor will be used for

all tasks that the application specific modules are not capable of, or when the implementation would not be efficient.

Of course there are also some disadvantages. The most apparent disadvantage seems to be that using application domain specific modules requires more hardware. Instead of processing all tasks on one general-purpose processor, these tasks are distributed over several modules. However, it is expected that the advance in technology give enough possibilities to take advantage of the increased effective chip-area and provide more functionality while keeping the energy consumption low. Another disadvantage is that to make a new architecture gain wide acceptance, it must run a significant body of software, which will require a major software development.

### 3.3 Related Work

Different architectures have been proposed to address mobile multimedia computing. There are few systems that address energy reduction. Systems like the InfoPad [18] and ParcTab [9] are designed to take advantage of high-speed wireless networking to reduce the amount of computation required on the portable. These systems are a kind of portable terminal and take advantage of the processing power of remote compute servers. This approach simplifies the design and reduces power consumption for the processing components, but significantly increases the network usage and thus potentially increases energy consumption because the network interface is energy expensive. These systems also rely on the availability of a high bandwidth network connectivity and cannot be used when not connected. UCLA has constructed a network testbed that uses a hardware architecture to localise data for both communication and video in order to increase performance and reduce energy consumption [12][13]. Abnous and Rabaey propose an architecture for signal processing applications that is flexible and uses low power [1]. The architecture consists of a control processor surrounded by a heterogeneous array of autonomous, special purpose satellite processors. The granularity of these tasks is relatively small. Some examples include address generators, multiply-accumulate processors for computing vector dot products, etc.


An architecture in which a generalised packet switched interconnect is used to connect processors, memories, and devices has widely come to be known as a 'desk area network' (DAN) [11]. We have adopted this concept, and believe that such an architecture is also suitable for low power portable computers. Our architecture has therefore some similarities to for example the *Desk Area Network* from Cambridge [7], *VuNet* from MIT [8] and the *APIC* architecture from the University of Washington [3]. However, their main motivation was performance and interoperability between (ATM) networks and devices. Our main motivation is reducing energy consumption. Furthermore, another main distinction is that our primary target is an architecture that can be used for a small portable computer, and not a high performance networked workstation. Ultimately, the architecture should be implemented in just a single chip. Therefore, we would not call the architecture a *Desk-area network*, but merely a *Chip-area network*.

## 4. ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN OF THE OCTOPUS SWITCH

The previous section described the architecture of the Mobile Digital Companion. In this section we will present the architecture and design of the *Octopus* switch that is used as the interconnection network of the Mobile Digital Companion. A key goal motivating the design has been simplicity, flexibility and energy efficiency.

### 4.1 *Octopus* architecture

Figure 2 shows an architectural view of the system of a *Mobile Digital Companion*. The *Octopus* switch provides the interconnection infrastructure between the functional modules in the system.



**Figure 2: System architecture Mobile Digital Companion.**

At the heart of the *Octopus* architecture is the *Octopus switching fabric*. The fabric connects eight *Module Interface Controllers* (MIC) that interface to the *Functional Modules*. These MICs decouple the modules from the *Octopus* switch and the other modules. The MICs contain small transmission and reception queues that store ATM cells. The MICs further perform operations like connection setup and arbitration for the connections between the modules. In the architecture we have chosen to adopt the size and the structure of an ATM cell, i.e. 5 bytes header and 48 bytes payload. This format has shown to be sensible for several reasons, among a very practical one is that it allows for a simple connection to the ATM network that we are using. The size is small enough to allow for a fast and flexible scheduling of communication streams, and large enough to have a relatively small overhead.

### 4.2 Buffering Strategies

A problem that can occur on any communication network is blocking. Blocking results from sharing common resources such as common links or buffers. The amount of buffering required in the system depends strongly on the traffic characteristics of a connection. It is however not always possible to know the exact traffic characteristics. For example, because video and audio data streams are often bursty the traditional system must be able absorb large bursts. In the design of the *Octopus* architecture we have tried to minimise the amount of buffering required. Having limited buffering, can result in several advantages for both performance and energy consumption:

- *High performance* – Because the data is copied with no or just minimal buffering from the source module directly to the destination module, the latency is reduced. Although a properly implemented buffering should not have an effect on the throughput, performance limitations can reduce the throughput. In many implementations the data has to traverse a shared path more than once, and then requires a high-bandwidth communication channel and a high-performance copying engine.
- *Energy efficient* – There is less energy needed for the storage of the data, and also for the transfer to and from the buffers,
- *Simple flow control* – If buffering is used, then, in order to prevent overflow of the buffers, these buffers must be either very larger or there must be a good and fast data flow mechanism. Large buffers require extra area and energy, which is wasted because most of the time they will not be used. Flow control also induces extra energy consumption and extra area because it requires extra communication and makes the design more complex.
- *Predictable QoS* – Having large buffers also influences the Quality of Service because they increase the latency and jitter of the data packets between the modules.

Reduction in the required amount of buffering on the mobile can be achieved in several ways. Firstly, the applications that source the traffic can try to adapt the traffic rate to the rate at which the sink module can accept it. For example in a communication stream between a video camera and a display, the camera could lower its frame rate or use a smaller picture size. Secondly, the modules could try to adapt their implementation such that the system can handle the traffic rate. For example, the video source could use another coding mechanism. Thirdly, if the communication stream is between a mobile and an application or service that is running on a system with plenty of energy (in general on a wired network), then the required buffering could be migrated from the mobile to the fixed station.

***Octopus buffer organisation*** – In our model, the interconnection network is transparent and provides only a direct connection between two functional modules. In the *Octopus* architecture buffering can in principal be performed at three layers:

- *Octopus switching fabric buffering* – We have omitted buffering of ATM cells in the switching fabric. Only one byte buffering in the fabric is needed for synchronisation of a connection stream between two MICs.
- *Module Interface Controllers buffering* – These units provide a minimal amount of buffering ATM cells, just enough to decouple the timing of the attached modules from each other and to buffer the data during the scheduling latency interval. Therefore, the rate at which the functional modules can handle traffic must thus be lower than the capacity of the MICs and the interconnection network.
- *Functional modules buffering* – This is the place where the most significant buffering can occur. Because the raw interconnect data rate of the *Octopus* switch is much higher than the rate in which the modules will probably handle the traffic, the main bottleneck will thus be not in the switch, but at the end-points of a connection between the modules. The

responsibility of the buffer organisation is thus transferred to the module.

In some cases, like in traffic that uses the wireless communication network interface, buffering can be advantageous and reduce the energy consumption [6]. In such cases the energy consumption required to buffer the data is lower than the energy savings that are achieved. However, this is only possible up to a certain limit due to buffer space limitations and Quality of Service requirements like latency limitations.

### 4.3 Octopus Switching Fabric Architecture

The *Octopus* switching fabric behaves like a reconfigurable 8 port ATM switch. The switching provides a simple mechanism for the exchange of cells, regardless of their payload. The *Octopus* switch simply routes the traffic according to (a part of) the Virtual Channel Identifier (VCI) in the header. In contrast to full-blown ATM switching fabrics, the responsibility for ATM functions, such as VCI mapping and flow control, has been teased out of the switch fabric and assigned to the devices that plug into the switching fabric. The MICs are responsible for translating the VCI to the address of the destination module, and – when a connection has to be established – initialises the switching fabric with that address.

The architecture of the switching fabric is therefore basically very simple; most of the complexities are migrated to the Module Interface Controllers. A switch consists of 8 input sections, 8 output sections, and an 8 x 8 interconnection structure. A MIC is connected to an input section and an output section. The connection between the MIC and an input and output section is shared, so the *Octopus* switch can support half-duplex connections only.

The interconnection network can be implemented in several ways as long as its capacity is large enough to support at least the maximum of four simultaneous connections at a rate that exceeds the data rate of an external module. In our prototype we use a fully connected crossbar. Although such a crossbar needs a large interconnection structure, the data rate on the individual connections can be relatively low.

The input section basically consists of only three registers: an *address register* that determines the output section of the connection, a *control register* for energy management and general control, and a *status register*. The dataflow of a connection passes the input section transparently. The control register determines when a request for a connection will be made. The status register will contain status information about the current connection. The output section contains two registers (*control register* and *status register*) and a *synchroniser* that synchronises the data stream so that the receiver MIC will handle it correctly in time. The status register is in fact shared with the input section. All requests for an output section are stored in this register and can be read by the MIC. Both the input and the output section share the *control unit*. This unit generates the *clock signal* for the attached MIC, and generates an *attention signal* when the MIC has to perform an operation. The attention signal is used to wake-up the MIC from sleep mode.

Figure 3 shows one input section and one output section that are involved in one connection between two modules. The interconnection network uses the address stored in the address

register of the input section to select the output section it wants to make a connection to.




Figure 3: Structure of one input section and one output section of the *Octopus* switch.


### 4.4 Module Interface Controller Architecture

The Module Interface Controller operates at the module interface layer. Its main task is to provide a data-path between the modules. The MIC basically contains the following units (see Figure 4): a *transmission queue* that stores ATM cells originating from the module in transit to the switching fabric; a *reception queue* receives the ATM cells coming from the switching fabric; a *VCI mapping table* that determines the destination module, and is indexed by the VCI in the header of the ATM cell; and an *arbiter* that performs the actual establishment of a connection and performs scheduling in case multiple simultaneous requests are received via the switch.

Using a typical communication stream between two MICs we will briefly describe the basic functions of these units.

When a MIC receives an ATM cell from the module it is attached to, it will first store this ATM cell in its *transmission queue*. The connection identifier contained in the VCI header of the ATM cell indexes the VCI mapping table to lookup the output port. The MIC will then establish a connection with this destination MIC. Cells with a VCI that is not known will be forwarded to a default module, which in general will be the CPU-module. The CPU-module contains the *connection manager (ConMng)* that is responsible for the management of all connections in the system. The ConMng uses special *management cells* to communicate with the MICs and the modules in the system. The VCI mapping table will be initialised by the ConMng using these management cells.

When the destination MIC receives a request for a connection, the *arbiter* determines when and whether the connection can be established. If there are multiple simultaneous connection requests, it uses a scheduling algorithm to determine which request can be honoured first.



**Figure 4: Module Interface Controller architecture.**

When the connection is established the source MIC forwards the data from its transmission queue over the *Octopus* switching fabric to the destination MIC. The destination MIC then first stores the ATM cells in its *reception queue* before it is further forwarded to the attached module. Note that the buffering of cells in the transmission and reception queue is not always required: when the module is capable of handling the cells at the required rate, then buffering can be omitted.

## 4.5 Connections

All communication between modules is based on connections. Prior to a communication transfer between two modules, a connection has to be set up. The ConMng schedules traffic between the modules. All connections are uni-directional. There are basically two types of connections:

- *Ad-hoc connections* – Modules that need no guaranteed flow of data use the ad-hoc connections. These type of connections are thus unreliable, and a higher protocol is needed e.g. for flow control. Ad-hoc connections are also used during the connection setup phase in which the module establishes a guaranteed connection with a different module.
- *Guaranteed connections* – Guaranteed connections are used to transfer data between modules that require bandwidth guarantees between the modules. Once a guaranteed connection is established, the actual data transfer still has to be announced by the source. In this way, the destination MIC can determine whether the reserved bandwidth is actually used, or otherwise assign that bandwidth to other connections.

Individual MICs in the *Octopus* switch can be remotely configured by using special control cells. The operating system running on the CPU-module issues these control-cells.

During *connection setup* of a guaranteed connection, the source module contacts the ConMng that it requires a channel to a module with a certain amount of bandwidth. It therefore transmits an ATM cell containing the request to the CPU-module using an ad-hoc connection. Upon receiving the request, the CPU-module will determine whether there is enough bandwidth available

between both modules involved and that the connection can thus be established. The ConMng then might negotiate with the destination module to verify that this module is capable and prepared to connect. If the connection is not possible (because either the ConMng knows that there is not enough bandwidth in the *Octopus* switch, or the destination module cannot accept the connection), then the ConMng will reply to the requesting module that the connection is currently not possible. If the connection is possible, then it will inform the destination module and the source module that it a new connection has been set-up.

The aggregate bandwidth available inside the *Octopus* switch allows each module to communicate at a rate that is probably much higher than it can source or sink. The *Octopus* switch allows up to four parallel connections between eight modules. Given the small number of modules and the rate at which our present modules are able to generate traffic, this provides enough bandwidth.

## 4.6 Scheduling

In principle each MIC is capable of buffering just a few ATM cells, both for receive traffic as well as for transmit traffic. The input ports of the MICs can receive multiple simultaneous requests from all other MICs. A scheduling policy has to be applied to choose which input port will be acknowledged, and can forward an ATM cell to the module. The problem of scheduling in switches has been studied extensively in the design of ATM switching fabrics. Although the design of our internal ATM switch is much more limited, many of the peculiarities in full-blown ATM fabrics apply to the *Octopus* switch.

- In *static scheduling* the bandwidth allocation is made on the basis of time slots in a service cycle. A *service cycle* is a periodically recurring time interval consisting of  $s$  time slots. In static scheduling each time a new request for a connection is made, the scheduler tries to allocate bandwidth on the path between source and destination by reserving resources for a number of timeslots. A *communication-scheduling table* describes which connections can communicate at each time slot. When a new reservation is made, the scheduling table has to be updated, and possibly rearrange the previous schedules.
- In *dynamic scheduling* the arbitration is more dynamic, and uses a scheduling mechanism to choose which cell to forward on the output link. Common arbitration schemes, such as round robin scheduling or priority based scheduling, are suitable. A problem associated with dynamic scheduling is fairness because the scheduling is distributed at all the output ports. Real-time traffic is not really suitable when using dynamic scheduling.

In the prototype of the *Octopus* switch we use a combination of both scheduling techniques: *static scheduling* for guaranteed connections, and *dynamic scheduling* for ad-hoc connections.

*Static scheduling* – Each time a new guaranteed connection is required, the source MIC issues a connection request to the ConMng. The ConMng tries to allocate bandwidth on the path between source and destination by reserving resources for a number of timeslots. The *communication-scheduling table* that describes which source MIC can communicate at each time slot is distributed to all MICs in the system using a management cell.

When the guaranteed connection is established, the connection has reserved some bandwidth but these slots are not yet used for traffic. When the source has traffic on the guaranteed connection, it still needs to issue a request to the destination. The arbiter in the destination MIC will always honour this request. When the guaranteed connection has no traffic and does not use the slot, other connections may use the slot instead.

**Dynamic scheduling** – Dynamic scheduling is much more flexible and the traffic can easily be adapted to the current needs. In the prototype the scheduler of the arbiter in the MICs are based on a round-robin scheduling based on the source MIC (and thus not per connection). Fairness is not a big issue because most connections will be reserved in advance using the static scheduling. These guaranteed connections have a high priority.

Note that traffic between two modules may consist of both a guaranteed connection, as an ad-hoc connection. For example, a video connection requires a guaranteed throughput to maintain some QoS, and more bandwidth only to improve the quality for which it uses the ad-hoc connection.

## 5. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE *OCTOPUS* SWITCH

A key goal motivating the design has been simplicity and flexibility. Our goal was to build a testbed from off-the-shelf VLSI components that was easy to design and test. Therefore, the prototype interconnection module is build using a Field Programmable Gate Array of Xilinx (i.e. XC4010XL) surrounded by six low-end and low-power micro-controllers (i.e. Microchip PIC 16C66).

### 5.1 Implementation

The FPGA can be programmed to operate as the switching fabric that connects the modules. Each port of the switch is connected to one micro-controller, so in our testbed we have six micro-controllers. The micro-controllers implement the *Module Interface Controllers (MIC)* as described in the architecture. The datapath between the micro-controllers and the FPGA is eight bits wide. The internal datapath in the switch is also 8 bits wide. All data in the system is based on the size of an ATM cell. We have implemented the interconnection as a fully connected crossbar switch. The switch does not have ATM sized buffers, but just some synchronisation and pipeline registers.

In the current prototype the MICs perform several tasks: connection establishment with the other MICs that are connected to the switch, routing of traffic between the modules, scheduling of traffic at the output port of the switch destined for the module, and the actual data-transfer between the module's device and the input port of the switch.

The design has been implemented and tested. The design allows us to do experiment with and make performance measurements of various architectures and interconnection protocols. We used VHDL as a design tool.



Figure 5: Testbed implementation *Octopus*.

### 5.2 Clock Gating

The design methodology used is data driven and based on asynchronous methods, combined with synchronous parts. Each individual part of the switch that is not used at some time, does not receive any data and clock changes, thus minimising energy consumption.


At the *architecture level* clock gating is a particularly attractive technique because little hardware and design complexity is needed to achieve substantial energy saving. At the system architecture level layer the *Octopus* interface controllers can be left idle and be sleeping for an extensive period of time. The *Octopus* switch controls the clock signals of its attached interface controllers. When there is no traffic flow in the system, all interface controllers are sleeping and receive no clock. When, due to an external event, the *Octopus* switch notices that it requires an interface controller, it enables the clock signal to the interface controller, and wakes the controller from its sleep.

Clock gating at the *circuit level* in the *Octopus* switch is used in various blocks and at different hierarchies. If any block is not needed to handle traffic, then the block is inactive, and receives no clock. When a block takes part in the communication stream between two functional modules, the block becomes partially active.

This principle is applied both at the control flow (for state-machines) and at the data-flow. The advantages for the state-machines are mainly local: no energy is wasted just to stay in the same state. The advantages when applying this principle in the data flow can have more impact. The data-flow in the *Octopus* switch is based on a pipelined and *guarded* architecture. Switching activities are the biggest cause of energy dissipation in most CMOS digital systems. Therefore, switching activities that do not contribute to the actual communication and computation are eliminated. To reduce the switching activities inside the *Octopus* switch, latches (registers) are added into the data flow that guard switching activities to propagate further inside the switch.

### 5.3 Performance

We have measured the performance and energy consumption of the *Octopus* switch including the MICs. All measurements are performed with a clock frequency applied to the switch and MICs ranging from 0.1 to 32 MHz. This is equivalent to a raw data-rate




**Figure 6: Phases during switch communication.**

per connection of 0.1 to 32 Mb/s. The *Octopus* switch is capable to support up to three simultaneous active connections when the connections are disjoint. This makes the total maximal throughput to be 96 Mb/s. This data-rate is more than sufficient to support all our expected data-streams on the mobile computer.

### 5.3.1 Performance and energy consumption during data transfer

In Figure 7 we have plotted the measurement results for varying frequencies, and with a different number of simultaneous dataflows. In this setup a maximum of three disjoint connections were active, involving six modules, three sending and three receiving MICs. Since the connections are disjoint, no congestion can occur and all units are able to operate at maximum speed. The graphs show clearly that the energy consumption increases linearly with the frequency, which was expected. It also shows that the required amount of energy depends strongly on the number of dataflows in the switch. This effect is mainly due to our aggressive power management. All parts of the system that at some moment have no functionality, are in a low power mode. The micro-controllers are in a very low power mode when they don't have traffic, and their contribution to the energy consumption can be neglected. The switch however has always a large energy overhead due to the implementation in an FPGA.



**Figure 7: Power consumption Octopus switch.**

In these measurements the micro-controllers are actively transferring data from their modules to the switch. There are three transmitting modules, and three receiving modules. The transmitting micro-controllers operate in the following sequential phases:

- *Module I/O phase* in which data is transferred between the module and the MIC

- *Arbitration phase*, in which a connection between source and sink MIC is established
- *Data-transfer phase*, in which the data is transferred in the switching fabric
- *Release phase*, that acknowledges the transfer and releases the connection.

Note that the phases of both micro-controllers that take part in the connection operate in parallel, and that the effect is that there is a pipelined dataflow between the modules.

We have also measured the time in which the individual phases contributed to the total time needed to transfer one ATM cell. In the setup the arbitration phase took 9% of the time, the data-transfer phase, including the release phase, took 27%, and the I/O phase took 64%. Note that the interface with the switch is highly optimised, and that the interface with the module is more general, and requires more time.

The measurements show that the costs of having a flexible dynamic scheduling can be significant. The overhead introduced by the arbitration and release phase is about 30% when only one ATM cell is actually being transferred. A solution can be to have larger packets of multiple ATM cells, but this will lead to a bigger latency.

### 5.3.2 The effect of clock gating and data guarding to the energy consumption

To determine the usefulness of our clock gating strategy and guarding of data on the energy consumption of the switch, we have made two versions of the switch: one optimised that uses clock gating and data guarding wherever possible, and one traditional design that did not use these techniques.

Figure 8 shows the increase in power of the traditional design versus the frequency. The figure plots two graphs, one when no data flow occurs and the switch is idle, and another when one connection between two modules is active. When the switch is idle, the increase in energy consumption of the traditional design can be up to 65%. The optimised design does not have useless clocks or data transitions. The main source of energy consumption that is left is due to the inherent energy consumption of the FPGA. This shows that – even for an FPGA that has a high energy consumption overhead – it is indeed worthwhile to optimise the clocking system.

When the switch is active, and there is one connection that communicates at maximum speed, the advantage is less: the increase in energy consumption of the traditional design is 25%. This can be expected since the attached MICs are also active and consume energy when there is a connection.




Figure 8: Effect of clock gating and data guarding.

## 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we considered the problem of designing an architecture for a handheld mobile multimedia computer. Energy management is the general theme in the design of the system architecture since battery life is limited and battery weight is an important factor.

As the Mobile Digital Companion must remain usable in a wide variety of environments, it must be flexible enough to accommodate a variety of multimedia services and communication capabilities and adapt to various operating conditions in an (energy) efficient way. The approach made to achieve such a system is to use autonomous, adaptable modules, interconnected by a switch rather than by a bus, and to offload as much as work as possible from the CPU to programmable modules that is placed in the data streams. Thus, communication between modules is delivered exactly where it is needed, work is carried out where the data passes through, bypassing the memory, modules are autonomously entering an energy conserving mode and adapt themselves to the current state of the resources and the requirements of the user. The application domain specific modules offer enough flexibility to be able to implement a predefined set of (usually) similar applications, while keeping the costs in terms of area and energy consumption to an acceptable low level.

The data paths through the switch only consume energy when data is being transferred, leaving most of the switch turned off nearly all the time. This is achieved by using clock gating and data guarding techniques in the switching fabric and energy management mechanisms in the module interface controllers. The interconnect of the architecture is based on a switch, called *Octopus*. In our model, the interconnection network is transparent and provides only a direct connection between two functional modules. The switch supports two basic connection types: ad-hoc connections for traffic with no hard real-time requirements, and guaranteed connections for traffic with (hard) real-time requirements. To assign the bandwidth in the switch we use static scheduling for guaranteed connections, and dynamic scheduling for ad-hoc connections. A certain portion of the bandwidth is allocated to traffic of guaranteed connections, and any unused bandwidth can be used for other traffic.

We have built a testbed of this architecture from off-the-shelf VLSI components that was easy to design and test. A key goal

motivating the design has been simplicity, flexibility and energy efficiency. The performance of this prototype provides bandwidth guarantees and enough bandwidth for many multimedia applications. The power management that was used showed to be very effective. Currently we are building the network module that uses a dynamic error control adapted to the QoS and traffic type of a connection, and has dedicated connection queues and flow control for each connection [6]. An energy-efficient MAC protocol is used that is able to provide near optimal energy efficiency for the mobile within the QoS constraints. Both the base station and the mobile use the operating system *Inferno* [4] which allows applications and system functions to be split and migrated dynamically between client and server.

## Future research

The testbed showed that it is already feasible with standard components to build an energy efficient architecture that allows many devices in the system to be turned off (including the CPU), while still providing enough performance to support multimedia applications. Having an energy efficient architecture that is capable to handle adaptability and flexibility in a mobile multimedia environment requires more than just a suitable hardware platform. First of all we need to have an operating system architecture that can deal with the hardware platform and the adaptability and flexibility of its devices. Optimisations across diverse layers and functions, not only at the operating systems level, is crucial. Managing and exploiting this diversity is the key system design problem [17]. A model that encompasses different levels of granularity of the system is essential in the design of an energy management system and in assisting the system designer in making the right decisions in the many trade-offs that can be made in the system design. Finally, to fully exploit the possibilities offered by the reconfigurable hardware, we need to have proper operating system support for reconfigurable computing, so that these components can be reprogrammed adequate when the system or the application can benefit from it.

## 7. REFERENCES

- [1] Abnous A., Rabaey J.: "Ultra-low-power domain-specific multimedia processors", *VLSI Signal processing IX*, ed. W. Burleson et al., IEEE Press, pp. 459-468, November 1996.
- [2] Balakrishnan H., et al.: "A comparison of mechanisms for improving TCP performance over wireless links", *Proceedings ACM SIGCOMM'96*, Stanford, CA, USA, August 1996.
- [3] Ditta Z.D., Cox R.C., Parulkar G.M.: "Catching up with the networks: host I/O at gigabit rates", *Technical report WUCS-94-11*, Washington University in St. Louis, April 1994.
- [4] Dorward S., Pike R., Presotto D., Ritchie D., Trickey H., Winterbottom P.: "Inferno", *Proceedings COMPCON Spring '97*, 42<sup>nd</sup> IEEE International Computer Conference, 1997 (more information on URL: <http://www.lucent.com/inferno>).
- [5] Havinga, P.J.M., Smit, G.J.M.: "Minimizing energy consumption for wireless computers in Moby Dick", *proceedings IEEE International Conference on Personal Wireless Communication ICPWC'97*, Dec. 1997.

- [6] Havinga P.J.M., Smit G.J.M., Bos M.: "Energy efficient wireless ATM design", *proceedings wmATM'99*, 1999.
- [7] Hayter M.D., McAuley D.R.: "The desk area network", *ACM Operating systems review*, Vol. 25 No 4, pp. 14-21, October 1991.
- [8] H.H. Houh, Adam J.F., Ismert M., Lindblad C.J., Tennenhouse D.L.: "The VuNet desk area network: architecture, implementation and experience", *IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communications (JSAC)*, 13(4):710-121, May 1995 (URL: <http://www.tns.lcs.mit.edu/ViewStation/src/html/publications/JSAC95.html>)
- [9] C. Kantarjiev et al.: "Experiences with X in a wireless environment", *Mobile and location-independent computing symposium*, Cambridge MA, August 1993.
- [10] Kozyrakis C.E., Patterson D.A.: "A new direction for computer architecture research", *Computer*, Nov. 1998, pp. 24-32,
- [11] Leslie I., D. McAuley, D. L. Tennenhouse: "ATM Everywhere?", *IEEE Network*, March 1993.
- [12] Lettieri P., Srivastava M.B.: "Advances in wireless terminals", *IEEE Personal Communications*, pp. 6-19, February 1999.
- [13] Mangione-Smith, B. et al.: "A low power architecture for wireless multimedia systems: lessons learned from building a power hog", *proceedings of the international symposium on low power electronics and design (ISLPED) 1996*, Monterey CA, USA, pp. 23-28, August 1996.
- [14] Mehra R., Lidsky D.B., Abnous A., Landman P.E., Rabaey J.M.: "Algorithm and architectural level methodologies for low power", section 11 in "*Low power design methodologies*", editors J. Rabaey, M. Pedram, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [15] Sheng S., Chandrakasan A., Brodersen R.W.: "A Portable Multimedia Terminal", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, pp. 64-75, vol. 30, no. 12, Dec., 1992.
- [16] Smit G.J.M., et al.: "An overview of the Moby Dick project", *1<sup>st</sup> Euromicro summer school on mobile computing*, pp. 159-168, Oulu, August 1998.
- [17] Srivastava M.: "Design and optimization of networked wireless information systems", *IEEE VLSI workshop*, April 1998.
- [18] Truman T.E., Pering T., Doering R., Brodersen R.W.: The InfoPad multimedia terminal: a portable device for wireless information access", *IEEE transactions on computers*, Vol. 47, No. 10, pp. 1073-1087, October 1998.